

19. (a) Using the notation of Sample Problem – “Pointillistic paintings use the diffraction of your eye,”

$$L = \frac{D}{1.22\lambda/d} = \frac{2(50 \times 10^{-6} \text{ m})(1.5 \times 10^{-3} \text{ m})}{1.22(650 \times 10^{-9} \text{ m})} = 0.19 \text{ m} .$$

(b) The wavelength of the blue light is shorter so  $L_{\text{max}} \propto \lambda^{-1}$  will be larger.

20. Using the notation of Sample Problem – “Pointillistic paintings use the diffraction of your eye,” the minimum separation is

$$D = L\theta_{\text{r}} = L\left(\frac{1.22\lambda}{d}\right) = (6.2 \times 10^3 \text{ m}) \frac{(1.22)(1.6 \times 10^{-2} \text{ m})}{2.3 \text{ m}} = 53 \text{ m} .$$

37. In a manner similar to that discussed in Sample Problem — “Double-slit experiment with diffraction of each slit included,” we find the number is  $2(d/a) - 1 = 2(2a/a) - 1 = 3$ .

38. We note that the central diffraction envelope contains the central bright interference fringe (corresponding to  $m = 0$  in Eq. 36-25) plus ten on either side of it. Since the eleventh order bright interference fringe is not seen in the central envelope, then we conclude the first diffraction minimum (satisfying  $\sin\theta = \lambda/a$ ) coincides with the  $m = 11$  instantiation of Eq. 36-25:

$$d = \frac{m\lambda}{\sin\theta} = \frac{11\lambda}{\lambda/a} = 11a .$$

Thus, the ratio  $d/a$  is equal to 11.

48. (a) For the maximum with the greatest value of  $m = M$  we have  $M\lambda = a \sin\theta < d$ , so  $M < d/\lambda = 900 \text{ nm}/600 \text{ nm} = 1.5$ , or  $M = 1$ . Thus three maxima can be seen, with  $m = 0, \pm 1$ .

(b) From Eq. 36-28, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta\theta_{\text{hw}} &= \frac{\lambda}{Nd \cos\theta} = \frac{d \sin\theta}{Nd \cos\theta} = \frac{\tan\theta}{N} = \frac{1}{N} \tan \left[ \sin^{-1} \left( \frac{\lambda}{d} \right) \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{1000} \tan \left[ \sin^{-1} \left( \frac{600 \text{ nm}}{900 \text{ nm}} \right) \right] = 0.051^\circ . \end{aligned}$$

55. If a grating just resolves two wavelengths whose average is  $\lambda_{\text{avg}}$  and whose separation is  $\Delta\lambda$ , then its resolving power is defined by  $R = \lambda_{\text{avg}}/\Delta\lambda$ . The text shows this is  $Nm$ , where  $N$  is the number of rulings in the grating and  $m$  is the order of the lines. Thus  $\lambda_{\text{avg}}/\Delta\lambda = Nm$  and

$$N = \frac{\lambda_{\text{avg}}}{m\Delta\lambda} = \frac{656.3 \text{ nm}}{(1)(0.18 \text{ nm})} = 3.65 \times 10^3 \text{ rulings.}$$

58. (a) We find  $\Delta\lambda$  from  $R = \lambda/\Delta\lambda = Nm$ :

$$\Delta\lambda = \frac{\lambda}{Nm} = \frac{500 \text{ nm}}{(600 / \text{mm})(5.0 \text{ mm})(3)} = 0.056 \text{ nm} = 56 \text{ pm.}$$

(b) Since  $\sin \theta = m_{\text{max}}\lambda/d < 1$ ,

$$m_{\text{max}} < \frac{d}{\lambda} = \frac{1}{(600 / \text{mm})(500 \times 10^{-6} \text{ mm})} = 3.3.$$

Therefore,  $m_{\text{max}} = 3$ . No higher orders of maxima can be seen.