

**Northwestern University Physics and Astronomy Ph.D. Qualifying Examination**

Friday, September 21, 2012, 9 am - 1 pm

**Quantum Mechanics**

**Solve 3 out of 4 problems**

If you solve all 4 problems, only the first 3 will be graded.

Solve each problem in a separate exam solution book and write your ID number – not your name – on each book. If your solution uses more than one book, label each book with “1 out of 2”, “2 out of 2” and so on.

## Problem 1

Consider a spin-1 system and let

$$|1\rangle = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad |0\rangle = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad |-1\rangle = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

denote the eigenstates of the  $S_z$  operator, which form an orthonormal basis.

- (a) For the state  $|\Psi\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|0\rangle + i|-1\rangle)$ , determine  $S_z|\Psi\rangle$  as well as the expectation value  $\langle\Psi|S_z|\Psi\rangle$ .
- (b) Using the above orthonormal basis and the state  $|\Psi\rangle$  from (a), evaluate the density matrix

$$\rho = \alpha|0\rangle\langle 0| + \beta|\Psi\rangle\langle\Psi|.$$

Which condition(s) – necessary and sufficient – must the coefficients  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  satisfy so that  $\rho$  is a valid density matrix?

- (c) Provided the spin is prepared in the state  $\rho$  defined in (b), find the expectation value for the measurement of the observable

$$B = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & i \\ 0 & -i & 2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

- (d) Obtaining the expectation value in (c) requires an ensemble average over a large number of measurements. In each individual measurement, what are the possible measurement results and their corresponding probabilities of occurrence when  $\alpha = 1/2$ ?

## Problem 2

A time-dependent force

$$\mathbf{F}(t) = F_0 \mathbf{e}_x e^{-t/\tau} \theta(t)$$

acts on an isotropic, two-dimensional harmonic oscillator.<sup>1</sup> For times  $t < 0$  the oscillator is in the ground state ( $n_x = n_y = 0$ ).

- (a) Express the Hamiltonian of the system in the form  $H = H_0 + V(t)$ . Here,  $H_0$  is the time-independent Hamiltonian describing the unperturbed harmonic oscillator for  $t < 0$ .
- (b) For the case of a weak force, calculate the approximate probability  $\mathcal{P}_1$  of finding the oscillator in either of the first excited states (eigenstates of  $H_0$ ) at time  $t > 0$ .
- (c) Your approximation in (b) requires that the force be small in some appropriate sense. Discuss the concrete condition(s) under which your approximation is expected to be good.
- (d) Is there a nonzero probability to find the oscillator in a higher excited state  $n_x > 1$  in the limit  $t \rightarrow \infty$ ? Justify your answer with a calculation.
- (e) Discuss the limit  $\tau \rightarrow \infty$  and relate your result for  $\mathcal{P}_1$  from (b) to the approximation for sudden changes of the Hamiltonian.

Useful relations for part (e):

Normalized eigenfunctions of the 1-d harmonic oscillator (mass  $m$ , angular frequency  $\omega$ ):

$$\psi_n(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2^n n! \ell_{\text{osc}} \sqrt{\pi}}} H_n(x/\ell_{\text{osc}}) e^{-\frac{1}{2}(x/\ell_{\text{osc}})^2}, \quad \text{where } \ell_{\text{osc}} = \sqrt{\hbar/(m\omega)}.$$

Definite integral of Gaussian and Hermite polynomial with shifted center point:

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\xi e^{-\xi^2} H_n(\xi + a) = 2^n \sqrt{\pi} (-a)^n.$$

---

<sup>1</sup>Here,  $\mathbf{e}_x$  is the unit vector in  $x$  direction and  $\theta(t)$  denotes the Heaviside step function, defined piece-wise as  $\theta(t) = 0$  for  $t < 0$  and  $\theta(t) = 1$  for  $t > 0$ .

## Problem 3

Positronium is the hydrogen-like bound state of an electron and a positron (anti-particle of the electron, same mass as the electron but opposite electric charge).

- (a) Based on the analogy with the hydrogen atom, describe the positronium energy spectrum and the corresponding quantum numbers. In this part, ignore fine splitting, hyperfine splitting and other relativistic corrections.
- (b) Next, consider effects due to the electron and positron spin. Give a heuristic derivation of the Hamiltonian term describing spin-orbit interaction. (You may disregard the issue of Thomas precession.) How does the positronium fine structure compare to the fine structure of the hydrogen atom?
- (c) For positronium states with vanishing orbital angular momentum, fine splitting does not occur. However, hyperfine splitting due to spin-spin interaction and coupling to an external magnetic field  $\mathbf{B} = B_0 \mathbf{e}_z$  in  $z$  may cause level shifts. Their contributions to the Hamiltonian are given by

$$H_{\text{spin}} = -\Lambda \boldsymbol{\mu}_1 \cdot \boldsymbol{\mu}_2 - B_0 (\boldsymbol{\mu}_1 + \boldsymbol{\mu}_2) \cdot \mathbf{e}_z,$$

where the subscripts 1 and 2 refer to the electron and positron, respectively;  $\Lambda > 0$  is a constant. In the absence of an external magnetic field, what are the energy shifts from  $H_{\text{spin}}$ ?

- (d) What are the shifts from  $H_{\text{spin}}$  if the magnetic field is nonzero?

You may use  $m_p/m_e \approx 2000$  for the ratio between proton (not positron!) and electron mass.

## Problem 4

Consider the scattering potential

$$V(\mathbf{r}) = \lambda r^{-1} e^{-r/r_0},$$

where  $\lambda$  and  $r_0$  are both real and positive constants, and  $r = |\mathbf{r}|$ .

- (a) A particle of mass  $m$  with sufficiently high energy is weakly scattered by the potential  $V(\mathbf{r})$ . Give an approximate expression for the differential cross section  $d\sigma/d\Omega(\theta)$  for this case.
- (b) Consider two particles with interaction potential  $V(\mathbf{r}_1 - \mathbf{r}_2)$  as given above. How can the solution of this two-particle problem be reduced to a problem similar to (a)?
- (c) Consider two indistinguishable spin-1/2 fermions, prepared in an  $S = 1$  state, and subject to the interaction potential  $V(\mathbf{r}_1 - \mathbf{r}_2)$  from above. Before the collision, you may consider the two particles to be in plane-wave states with momenta  $\pm p \mathbf{e}_z$ . Do you expect the differential cross section to be the same as in (a)? Explain and verify your answer by explicit calculation of the cross section  $d\sigma/d\Omega$  at  $\theta = \pi/2$  in the reference frame with zero total momentum. (Use the usual scattering ansatz.)
- (d) How does  $d\sigma/d\Omega|_{\theta=\pi/2}$  change if the two fermions from (c) are instead prepared in the  $S = 0$  state?